Approved For Release 2004/01/15: CIA-RDP80R01731R003500200050-1

9 Acril 1918

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Subject:

Panel on Human Relations

and Horake

l. At the invitation of the Panel on Human Relations and oracs of the Counittee on Human Resources (RDH), the Subcommittee for Special Studies and Evaluations net today with the Penal for a ognieron o on research and development in psychological warfare. Attended is the agendum of the conference. The nonference was chaired by Dr. Billari of the Carnegia Corporation, New York City, who immediately onlied upon Dr. Lanier to give a brief on the previous meeting of this brown which was held last October.

- 2. Dr. Lander mentioned that the previous conference was many informal and that the essence of the dispussion was that the Counities on Manan Relations and Morelo should feep the problem that there exists no systematic plan for research in the psychological warders fix id. Among the critical problems outlined by the Human Resources Committee for the Committee on Human Relations and Ecrals was the following: "Strategic Services Including Methods of Collecting Intelligence." They felt that the problem was much broader than just military in nature. Br. Lanier schied that the Research and Development Board sust prosent a yearly plan to the Secretary of Befance, and that the present plan, which is "Top Secret", includes the subject of Recommen in the Field of Phychological Harfare. He suscenised his comments by stating that the basic quantion involved was whether it was feasible for the pervious Departments, in conjunction with others, to make thorough research studies in this field of Human Relations and Merels. He said that a long-range study should be presented to the Board. If the undertaking is worth-while, then the Panal should be proposed to suggest the prestoral possibilities of launching such a program. Postage some sillions of dollars should be set aside for the undertaking, and mayor it mi int assume proportions somewhat akin to the Hambattan Project.
- 3. The Chairman thanked Dr. Lander and added that the research in question involved methodology as well as operters. He then calle on Mr. Block for a statement, Mr. Blank did an excellent job of shadowboxing and concluded some bread observations with the comment that the

OSD & DOS review(s) completed.

Approved For Release 20 6 CIA-RDP80R01731R003500200050-1

field of our Subcommittee had not been completely defined. The Challeman then asked kr. Block if the SANACC Subcommittee had undert ken a review of psychological warfare literature of World War II, or whe was to his knowledge, any other agency in the Government had done his. Mr. Block answered both parts of this question in the negative.

- a summary of his present undertakings in this field. Dr. Lilly statement that his present task was mostly historical, bringing together shad that his present task was mostly historical, bringing together shad the done and how it was done. For the time being, he is not evaluating the benefits or shortcomings of the various undertakings. For the future, he intends to enlist the aid of actual operators and with their height will develop an appendix of the shortcomings in procedures and contain. The Chairman then called on Dr. Spier to present his views.
- 5. Dr. Spier stated that in his estimation there were may furthmental parts to the problem which must be segregated to clarify the thinking processes. To indicate what he had in mind, he posed several questions:
 - a. Is it the intent of the Panel to go into the intelligence phases first? This he felt was fundamental to ascertain a set information must be gotten and how it must be collected.
 - b. Is it the intent of the Panel to face the administrative problems? Who does what?
 - c. The relationship between military warfare and page classical warfare must be clarified and recognised.
 - d. How much psychological warfare is desirable in the of peace when there is no shooting? He observed that such is being carried on in this field today, but what about an overall land. He felt that there was a lack of ammeness by operators on the part they were playing in the total picture. He then asked whether there was any overall objective in mind.
 - e. He felt that there should be a distribution between the defensive aspects of this problem to guard against meakens as a morals on the home front, and the offensive phases to be used against the enemy abroad. This question takes in the degrees are consorming and a host of other problems.
 - f. Dr. Spier concluded by stating that psychological warfant in World Ears I and II was of the "hit and miss" method to a great extent, and given mostly to the distribution of news. He agreed that this was a very important part of the total operation, but have not the core of the problem. He felt that there must be a study

of the best may of coordinating the overall policy, that is, the national political objective with actual operations. He felt that we must get may from the "importment of tricks" and "operation back-talk." Thus he emphasized that there must be a definition of goals, recognising that in peace-time we strive for a just and lasting peace and that when the shooting war breaks out, all afforts are directed terraid similar the war. He said it is sither political warfare (weging war for the sympathy of people over a large part of the earth) or just "to beg of tricks" approach, a hit-end-miss undoordinated activity.

- 6. The Chairman caked Mr. Block if the Agencies had agreed to the parts each should play in an overall plan. The answer was no
- 7. The Chairman them asked whether the Agencies would welcome at analysis of what should be the nethed and the content for each in the total picture. Mr. Block answered in the affirmative, but said that the Agencies may not accept it. The Chairman then called on Dr. Assetting of Yale.
- was what are the objectives and how are they to be achieved. In our democracy national objectives within our framework of national policy are defined by our top legislators. Thus he felt that we should face the issue of attempting to suggest ways of phrasing our objectives which would make them acceptable to top military and divilian efficials so their our total national policy will be stable. In such a presentation, it would be messagery to make assumptions of possible and probable impendings. Assumptions as to whether wer will happen advertently or inadvertently will mold different coccepts as to the type of national pulicy that will govern under different circumstances. Unless our goal is clear, all the is by chance or by tricks."
- 9. The Chairman then called on Dr. Likert of the University of Michigan. Dr. Likert felt that the total question was divided into two parts one the intelligence, and the other the action. To felt that in the field of intelligence it was easier to achieve coerdination, this he stressed the need for total integration. He said that through therefore going intelligence, the Panel should know what was going on in neutral, friendly and enemy countries, and what was happening to all class its of population in each one of these categories. He felt, however, that it was difficult to schizve coerdination on the action side. He attributed this difficulty to the price inherent in each operator that he is doing his job in the best way.



- 10. The Chairman then asked Mr. Block whether he fait that there should be a Sub-panel on Psychological Marfare. Mr. Block said that he was not in a position to answer this question shape the whole picture was in the process of evolution.
- Il. Dr. Libert then observed that one of the strongest not local displanenting fill is in the field of psychological workers. I sin Dr. Libert stressed that the right hand sust know what the left and is doing. He said that OSS had much information obtained from a smallymis of various types of letters and that I-2, in many instances, did not have this information.
- 12. The Chairman observed that the Fanal, of course, must not be a pressure group, but must have as its objective assistance to operators. Ir. Likert said that his observations were made with this view in mind.
- 13. The conference adjourned with the Chairman thanking Fr. Block for attending with his full Sub-committee.

 25X1

lever, Icaps

25X1

Attachment - Agencia (Panel on Human Pelations and Morals) -9 April 1918

SMacC/mll
cc: (sent 4/12)

25X1